

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,892	07/07/2003	Dinh C. Tat	CING-125	9849
39013 MOA77AM &	7590 12/26/2007 2 ASSOCIATES, LLC		EXAMINER	
7601 LEWINSVILLE ROAD			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
SUITE 304 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,892	TAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald Gauthier	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	November 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the price of the price	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	nry (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail					

Application/Control Number: 10/615,892 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dwyer et al. (US 6,687,508) in view of Cast et al. (US 6,965,777 B1).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding **claims 1, 4 and 8**, Dwyer discloses a method for providing numericonly paging service (column 1, lines 7-10), the method comprising:

an input to receive DTMF tones constituting a page from a source (column 4, lines 14-23).

Dwyer fails to disclose logic on a converter to convert the DTMF tones to SMPP protocol but suggest that the paging protocol of the voicemail system that convert the DTMF signal includes SMPP (column 4, lines 32-40).

However, Cast teaches logic on a converter to convert the DTMF tones to SMPP protocol (column 5, lines 16-36); and

an output to provide the SMPP protocol to a paging device (column 5, lines 16-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dwyer using the teaching of sending the message as taught by Cast.

This modification of the invention enables the system to have logic on a converter to convert the DTMF tones to SMPP protocol so that the message would be converted by the SMSC system.

Regarding **claims 2, 6 and 10**, Cast teaches an arrangement, further comprising: a Short Message Service Center to receive the SMPP protocol and to form a Short Message Service message (column 5, lines 37-54).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding **claims 3 and 7**, Cast teaches an arrangement, further comprising: a switching network element to receive the SMS message and to route the SMS message to a paging device (column 5, lines 37-44).

Regarding **claim(s) 5 and 9**, Dwyer discloses a method, further comprising: receiving the DTMF tones from a Public Switched Telephone Network (column 4, lines 14-23).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claim(s) 1-10** have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7539. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,892 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gerald Gauthier/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

GG December 19, 2007